IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE CIVIL JURISDICTION

**WRIT PETITION NO.2002 OF 2005**

Namdeo Hari Gurav ).. Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Gangaram Patil and others ).. Respondents

Mr.R.S.Apte i/b.Mr.A.A.Garge for the Petitioner.

Mr.Rajiv Patil for the Respondents.

**CORAM: SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

**DATED: 26TH JULY 2005**

**P.C.:**

. The Petitioner challenges concurrent findings of facts of the Courts below. The suit has been decreed on the grounds of Sections 13(1)(g), 13(1)(k) and 13(1)(l) of the Bombay Rent, Hotel, Lodging House Rates (Control) Act, 1947, hereinafter referred to as "the Rent Act". Both the Courts below held that the landlord bona fide required the suit premises and that great hardship would be caused to the landlord if the Suit is not decreed. The Courts have also held, while considering the ground under Section 13(1)(l), that the Petitioners have secured alternate accommodation and he has been residing with his family at that place. Consequently, the suit premises have not been used for over a period of six months continuously without any genuine reasons and hence the Suit has been decreed under Section 13(1)(K). Hence,

Writ Petition rejected.